

NORMAL FAMILIES CONCERNING SHARED VALUES

BY

HUAIHUI CHEN

*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University
Nanjing 210093, P. R. China*

AND

XINHOU HUA*

*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University
Nanjing 210093, P. R. China
mahua@netra.nju.edu.cn*

ABSTRACT

Let \mathfrak{F} be a family of holomorphic functions in the unit disk D . Suppose that there exists a nonzero and finite value a such that for each function $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, f , f' and f'' share the value a IM in D . Then the family \mathfrak{F} is normal in D . An example shows that a cannot be zero.

1. Introduction and main result

According to Bloch's principle, many normality criteria can be proved by starting from Picard type theorems (see [9]). Another approach to normality criteria is to use conditions known from theorems on sharing values. A first attempt at this was made by Schwick [10].

Let f and g be two meromorphic functions in the domain U , $a \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros in U , then we say that f and g share the value a IM (ignoring multiplicity) in U (cf. [11]).

* Supported in part by NSFC and NSF of Jiangsu Province.
Received January 22, 1998

THEOREM A ([10]): *Let \mathfrak{F} be a family of meromorphic functions in the unit disk D and a_1, a_2, a_3 be distinct complex numbers. If f and f' share a_1, a_2, a_3 IM in D for every $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, then \mathfrak{F} is normal in D .*

In this paper, we shall prove

THEOREM: *Let \mathfrak{F} be a family of holomorphic functions in the unit disk D . Suppose that there exists a nonzero and finite value a such that for each function $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, f, f' and f'' share the value a IM in D . Then the family \mathfrak{F} is normal in D .*

Remark 1: The following example shows that the value a cannot be zero.

Example: Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{f_n(z) = e^{nz} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then the spherical derivative $f_n^\#(0) = n/2 \rightarrow \infty$. Thus \mathfrak{F} is not normal in the unit disk D by Marty's criterion. However, it is clear that f_n, f'_n and f''_n share 0, since none of these functions vanishes.

2. Preliminaries

We shall use standard notations in Nevanlinna theory (cf. [2]). Define

$$(1) \quad \Psi(z) := \psi(f(z)) = \frac{f'(z) + f''(z)}{f(z) - a} - \frac{2f''(z)}{f'(z) - a}.$$

Then $\psi(f(z)) \not\equiv 0$ implies that $f \not\equiv f'$.

For convenience, we set

$$\begin{aligned} LD(r, f : c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) &= c_1 m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f-a}\right) + c_2 m\left(r, \frac{f''}{f'}\right) \\ &\quad + c_3 m\left(r, \frac{f''}{f'-a}\right) + c_4 m\left(r, \frac{f''}{f-a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by M a positive number depending on a only, which may have different values at different occurrences.

LEMMA 1: *Let f be holomorphic in the unit disk D and $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that f, f' and f'' share a IM in D . Then $f(z_0) = a$ implies $\Psi(z_0) = 0$.*

Proof: By the assumptions we may suppose that, near z_0 ,

$$f(z) = a + a(z - z_0) + \frac{a}{2}(z - z_0)^2 + b(z - z_0)^3 + O((z - z_0)^4),$$

where b is a constant relating z_0 . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= a + a(z - z_0) + 3b(z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3), \\ f''(z) &= a + 6b(z - z_0) + O((z - z_0)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f' + f''}{f - a} &= \frac{2}{z - z_0} + \frac{6b}{a} + O(z - z_0), \\ \frac{f''}{f' - a} &= \frac{1}{z - z_0} + \frac{3b}{a} + O(z - z_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Psi(z_0) = 0$. The proof of the lemma is complete. ■

LEMMA 2: Let f be holomorphic in the unit disk D . Suppose that f, f' and f'' share a nonzero and finite value a IM in D . If $f(0) \neq a$ and $f''(0) \neq 0$, then

$$T(r, f) \leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a}\right) + LD(f : 1, 2, 1, 0) + \log \frac{|(f(0) - a)(f'(0) - a)|}{|f''(0)|} + M.$$

Proof: From the assumptions we see that $f'(0) \neq a$. By the first and the second fundamental theorems,

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{f' - a}\right) &\leq m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f - a}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{f' - a}\right) \\ &\leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{f''}\right) + LD(f : 1, 1, 1, 0) + M \\ &\leq T(r, f'') + LD(f : 1, 1, 1, 0) + \log \frac{1}{|f''(0)|} + M \\ &\leq T(r, f') + LD(f : 1, 2, 1, 0) + \log \frac{1}{|f''(0)|} + M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f' - a}\right) + LD(f : 1, 2, 1, 0) \\ &\quad + \log \frac{|(f(0) - a)(f'(0) - a)|}{|f''(0)|} + M. \end{aligned}$$

Since f, f' and f'' share the value a , we know that $f - a$ and $f' - a$ have only simple zeros and

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f' - a}\right).$$

The conclusion follows. ■

LEMMA 3: Let f be holomorphic in the unit disk D . Suppose that f, f' and f'' share a nonzero and finite value a IM in D . If $f(0) \neq a, f''(0) \neq 0$ and $\Psi(0) \neq 0$, then

$$T(r, f) \leq LD(f : 3, 2, 3, 2) + \log \frac{|(f(0) - a)(f'(0) - a)|}{|f''(0)\Psi(0)^2|} + M.$$

Proof: From Lemma 1 we see that $\Psi(z)$ is holomorphic in D , and

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{\Psi}\right) \\ &\leq T(r, \Psi) + \log \frac{1}{|\Psi(0)|} \\ &\leq LD(f : 1, 0, 1, 1) + \log \frac{1}{|\Psi(0)|} + M. \end{aligned}$$

This and Lemma 2 yield the conclusion. ■

Remark 2: If f is a nonconstant entire function and f, f' and f'' share a finite and nonzero value a IM in the plane, then the above lemma implies that $f = f'$. This conclusion was already obtained by Jank–Mues–Volkman [4]. Our proof is very simple.

The following result is the well-known Zalcman’s principle.

LEMMA 4 ([12]): Let \mathfrak{F} be a family of meromorphic functions on the unit disk Δ . Then \mathfrak{F} is not normal at $z = 0$ if and only if there exists a sequence $f_n \in \mathfrak{F}$, a sequence $z_n \rightarrow 0$ and a positive sequence $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $g_n(\zeta) = f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta)$ converges locally and uniformly to a non-constant entire function $g(\zeta)$.

LEMMA 5 (see Hiong [3]): If $f(z)$ is meromorphic in a disk $|z| < R$ such that $f(0) \neq 0, \infty$, then, for $0 < r < \rho < R$,

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) &\leq C_k \left\{ 1 + \log^+ \log^+ \frac{1}{|f(0)|} + \log^+ \frac{1}{r} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \log^+ \frac{1}{\rho - r} + \log^+ \rho + \log^+ T(\rho, f) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_k is a constant depending only on k .

LEMMA 6 (Bureau [1]): Let b_1, b_2 and b_3 be positive numbers and $T(r)$ a non-negative, increasing and continuous function on an interval $[r_0, R), R < \infty$. If

$$T(r) \leq b_1 + b_2 \log^+ \frac{1}{\rho - r} + b_3 \log^+ T(\rho)$$

for any $r_0 < r < \rho < R$, then

$$T(r) \leq B_1 + B_2 \log^+ \frac{1}{R - r}$$

for $r_0 \leq r < R$, where B_1 and B_2 depend only on b_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

3. Proof of the theorem

Suppose on the contrary that the family \mathfrak{F} is not normal in D . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that \mathfrak{F} is not normal at 0. By Zalcman's principle, there exist a sequence f_n in \mathfrak{F} , a sequence $z_n \rightarrow 0$ and a positive sequence $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad g_n(\zeta) = f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta)$$

tends to a nonconstant entire function $g(\zeta)$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} . Thus, for any positive integer k ,

$$(3) \quad g_n^{(k)}(\zeta) = \rho_n^k f_n^{(k)}(z_n + \rho_n \zeta) \rightarrow g^{(k)}(\zeta).$$

If g is a polynomial, then there exists a point w_0 such that $g(w_0) = a$. By Hurwitz' theorem, there is a sequence $\zeta_n \rightarrow w_0$ such that

$$g_n(\zeta_n) = f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_n) = a \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus

$$(4) \quad f'_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_n) = f''_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_n) = a$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, since f_n, f'_n and f''_n share a . By (3), we have

$$g'_n(\zeta_n) = \rho_n f'_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_n) \rightarrow g'(w_0)$$

and

$$g''_n(\zeta_n) = \rho_n^2 f''_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_n) \rightarrow g''(w_0).$$

This and (4) imply that

$$g'(w_0) = g''(w_0) = 0.$$

Thus $g(\zeta)$ is not a polynomial of degree less than 3.

Now there are two cases to be discussed.

CASE 1: There is a subsequence $\{f_{n_j}\} \subset \{f_n\}$ such that $\psi(f_{n_j}) \equiv 0$. Then by (1),

$$\frac{\rho_{n_j}^2 f'_{n_j}(z_{n_j} + \rho_{n_j} \zeta) + \rho_{n_j}^2 f''_{n_j}(z_{n_j} + \rho_{n_j} \zeta)}{f_{n_j}(z_{n_j} + \rho_{n_j} \zeta) - a} = \frac{2\rho_{n_j}^3 f''_{n_j}(z_{n_j} + \rho_{n_j} \zeta)}{\rho_{n_j} f'_{n_j}(z_{n_j} + \rho_{n_j} \zeta) - \rho_{n_j} a}.$$

Thus by (2),

$$\frac{\rho_{n_j} g'_{n_j}(\zeta) + g''_{n_j}(\zeta)}{g_{n_j}(\zeta) - a} = \frac{2\rho_{n_j} g''_{n_j}(\zeta)}{g'_{n_j}(\zeta) - a\rho_{n_j}}.$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, by (3), we obtain $g''(\zeta) \equiv 0$, which is a contradiction.

CASE 2: There are only finitely many f_n such that $\psi(f_n) \equiv 0$. We may suppose that $\psi(f_n) \not\equiv 0$ for all n . Take a point ζ_0 such that

$$(5) \quad g(\zeta_0) \neq a, 0; \quad g'(\zeta_0) \neq 0; \quad g''(\zeta_0) \neq 0.$$

The same reason as above gives

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_n^2 \psi(f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0)) &= \frac{\rho_{n_j} g'_{n_j}(\zeta_0) + g''_{n_j}(\zeta_0)}{g_{n_j}(\zeta_0) - a} - \frac{2\rho_{n_j} g''_{n_j}(\zeta_0)}{g'_{n_j}(\zeta_0) - a\rho_{n_j}} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{g''(\zeta_0)}{g(\zeta_0) - a}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{\rho_n} \frac{(f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0) - a)(f'_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0) - a)}{f''_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0)} \rightarrow \frac{(g(\zeta_0) - a)g'(\zeta_0)}{g''(\zeta_0)}.$$

These two facts imply that

$$(7) \quad \log \frac{|(f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0) - a)(f'_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0) - a)|}{|f''_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0)\psi(f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0))|^2} \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, put

$$h_n(z) = f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0 + z).$$

Let n be sufficiently large. Then h_n is defined and holomorphic on the disk $0 < |z| < 1/2$, since $z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0 \rightarrow 0$. By (5) and (6),

$$(8) \quad h_n(0) = g_n(\zeta_0) \rightarrow g(\zeta_0) \neq a, 0,$$

$$(9) \quad h'_n(0) = \frac{1}{\rho_n} g'_n(\zeta_0) \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(10) \quad h''_n(0) = \frac{1}{\rho_n^2} g''_n(\zeta_0) \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(11) \quad \psi(h_n(0)) = \psi(f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0)) \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying Lemma 3 to $h_n(z)$ and using (7) we get

$$(12) \quad T(r, h_n) \leq LD(r, h_n : 3, 2, 3, 2)$$

for sufficiently large n . For $1/4 < r < \rho_1 < 1/2$, let $\rho' = (r + \rho)/2$. By Lemma 5, we have

$$(13) \quad LD(r, h_n : 3, 2, 3, 2) \leq M \left\{ 1 + \log^+ \log^+ \frac{1}{|h_n(0) - a|} + \log^+ \log^+ \frac{1}{|h'_n(0)|} \right. \\ \left. + \log^+ \log^+ \frac{1}{|h'_n(0) - a|} + \log^+ \frac{1}{\rho' - r} \right. \\ \left. + \log^+ T(\rho', h_n) + \log^+ T(\rho', h'_n) \right\}.$$

Note that

$$(14) \quad \log^+ T(\rho', h'_n) \leq \log^+ T(\rho', h_n) + \log^+ m\left(\rho', \frac{h'_n}{h_n}\right) \\ \leq \log^+ T(\rho, h_n) + m\left(\rho', \frac{h'_n}{h_n}\right).$$

Applying Lemma 5 to $0 < \rho' < \rho$, we have

$$(15) \quad m\left(\rho', \frac{h'_n}{h_n}\right) \leq M \left\{ 1 + \log^+ \log^+ \frac{1}{|h_n(0)|} + \log^+ \frac{1}{\rho - \rho'} + \log^+ T(\rho, h_n) \right\}.$$

It follows from (8)-(15) that

$$T(r, h_n) \leq b_1 + b_2 \log^+ \frac{1}{\rho - r} + b_3 \log^+ T(\rho, h_n),$$

where b_1, b_2 and b_3 are constants independent of n . By Lemma 6, we obtain

$$T\left(\frac{1}{4}, h_n\right) \leq A,$$

where A is a constant independent of n . Thus $f_n(z)$ are bounded for sufficiently large n and $|z| < 1/8$. However, from

$$\rho_n^2 f_n''(z_n + \rho_n \zeta_0) = g_n''(\zeta_0) \rightarrow g''(\zeta_0) \neq 0$$

we see that $f_n(z)$ cannot be bounded in $|z| < 1/8$. Therefore we get a contradiction. The proof is complete. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: We wish to thank the referee for valuable suggestions and for giving us some unpublished references, such as [5]-[8].

References

- [1] F. Bureau, *Mémoire sur les fonctions uniformes à point singulier essentiel isolé*, Mémoire de la Société de Royale Science Liège **17** (1932).
- [2] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Oxford University Press, 1964.
- [3] K. Hiong, *Sur les fonctions holomorphes dont les dérivées admettant une valeur exceptionnelle*, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure (3) **72** (1955), 165–197.
- [4] G. Jank, E. Mues and L. Volkmann, *Meromorphe Funktionen, die mit ihrer ersten und zweiten Ableitung einen endlichen Werte teilen*, Complex Variables **6** (1986), 51–71.
- [5] X. C. Pang, *Shared values and normal families*, Preprint.
- [6] X. C. Pang, *Normality and sharing values*, Preprint.
- [7] X. C. Pang and L. Zalcman, *Normal families and shared values*, The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, to appear.
- [8] X. C. Pang and L. Zalcman, *Normality and shared values*, Arkiv för Matematik, to appear.
- [9] J. L. Schiff, *Normal families*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [10] W. Schwick, *Sharing values and normality*, Archiv der Mathematik **59** (1992), 50–54.
- [11] H. X. Yi and C. C. Yang, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions (Chinese)*, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
- [12] L. Zalcman, *A heuristic principle in complex function theory*, The American Mathematical Monthly **82** (1975), 813–817.